

Lie Groups and Lie Algebras Assignment 3

Name: Nate Stemen (20906566)

Email: nate@stemen.email

Due: Wed, Feb 24, 2020 10:00 PM

Course: PMATH 863

1

Let G be a matrix Lie group and (Π, V) a representation.

- (a) Prove that the representation is irreducible if and only if for all $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{\Pi(A)v : A \in G\} = V,$$

where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} according to whether the representation is complex or real.

- (b) Prove that the standard representations of $\text{SO}(n)$, $\text{SU}(n)$, $\text{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ are irreducible.

Solution. I'll use the notation $\mathbb{F}[Gv]$ to denote $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{\Pi(A)v : A \in G\}$ which is reminiscent of the notation of a group ring.

(a) \implies Take (Π, V) to be irreducible, and suppose $\mathbb{F}[Gv] \neq V$. Then there exists a subspace $W \subseteq V$ not hit by any $\Pi(A)v$ for all $A \in G$. Thus W^\perp is an invariant subspace, and (Π, V) is reducible. By contradiction we're done.

\impliedby Take $\mathbb{F}[Gv] = V$ for all non-zero v and suppose (Π, V) has an irrep $(\Pi|_W, W)$. Then for $w \in W$, then by irreducibility we have $Gw \subseteq W$ and hence $\mathbb{F}[Gw] \subseteq W$. Thus we've found a $v \in V$ such that $\mathbb{F}[Gv] \neq V$ which is a contradiction, and hence (Π, V) must be irreducible.

(b) By (a) if $\text{SO}(n)$, $\text{SU}(n)$, $\text{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ were reducible, there would be a vector subspace such that Gv never "hits". Without loss of generality we can take v to be a basis element of \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n . Since $\text{SO}(n)$ contains all (orientation preserving) change of bases, it surely contains rotating \mathbf{e}_i into \mathbf{e}_j for all i and j . This argument should apply to $\text{SU}(n)$ as well.

To see this is true for $\text{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ note that $\text{SU}(n)$ and $\text{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ have the same dimension ($n^2 - 1$). This fact, together with $\text{SU}(n) \subset \text{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ and the argument above show the standard representation on $\text{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ is irreducible.

2

For a smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^n we define $\Delta f := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2}$. Prove that for all $A \in O(n)$ we have $\Delta(f(Ax)) = (\Delta f)(Ax)$.

Solution. We're going to do this by components, so let's recall what it means for A to be orthogonal in components.

$$[AA^T]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}[A^T]_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}A_{jk} = \text{col}(i, A) \cdot \text{col}(j, A) = \delta_{ij}$$

Here $\text{col}(i, A)$ denotes the i th column of A .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(Ax) &= f^{(i)}(Ax) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Ax \\ &= f^{(i)}(Ax) \text{col}(i, A) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} f(Ax) &= f^{(ii)}(Ax) \text{col}(i, A) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Ax \\ &= f^{(ii)}(Ax) \underbrace{\text{col}(i, A) \cdot \text{col}(i, A)}_1 \\ &= f^{(ii)}(Ax) \end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude $(\Delta f)(Ax) = \Delta(f(Ax))$.

3

Consider the standard representation of $SO(2)$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . Prove that the second statement of Schur's lemma fails. That is, there exists an intertwining map $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ which is not a multiple of the identity.

Solution. Recall the standard representation of $SO(2)$ is the function $\lambda : SO(2) \rightarrow GL(2; \mathbb{R})$ defined by $\lambda(A)\mathbf{x} := A\mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Now our goal is to find a function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\lambda \circ \psi = \psi \circ \lambda$. Thankfully, 2-dimensional rotations commute, and hence we can pick any $R \in SO(2)$ to define $\psi_R : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ as $\psi_R(\mathbf{x}) = R\mathbf{x}$.

Hence we have

$$\lambda(A)\psi_R(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda(A)R\mathbf{x} = AR\mathbf{x} = RA\mathbf{x} = \psi_R(\lambda(A)\mathbf{x}).$$

4

View the Heisenberg group as sitting in $GL(3; \mathbb{C})$ and consider the standard representation on \mathbb{C}^3 . Determine all invariant subspaces. Is this representation completely reducible?

Solution. Let H denote the Heisenberg group and let's run through a computation for the standard representation $\rho : H \rightarrow GL(3; \mathbb{C})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(h)\mathbf{x} &= h\mathbf{x} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} x + ay + bz \\ y + cz \\ z \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Since this must hold for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ we see z and y must be zero. Hence the only invariant subspace is the x -axis.

5

Let $V'_n = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{z^k : k = 0, \dots, n\}$ be the set of polynomials in one complex variable of degree at most n . Define an action of $SU(2)$ on V'_n by letting

$$[\Pi(A)f](z) = (-bz + a)^n f\left(\frac{\bar{a}z + \bar{b}}{-bz + a}\right), \text{ for } A = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{b} \\ b & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Prove that $\Pi(A)$ does map V'_n to itself for all $A \in SU(2)$, and that (Π, V'_n) is indeed a representation of $SU(2)$.
 (b) Prove that V'_n is isomorphic to $V_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ as a representation of $SU(2)$.

Solution. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi(A) \cdot f](z) &= (-bz + a)^n \sum_{m=0}^n \alpha_m \left(\frac{\bar{a}z + \bar{b}}{-bz + a}\right)^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \alpha_m (\bar{a}z + \bar{b})^m (-bz + a)^{n-m} \in V'_n \end{aligned}$$

Now let $AB = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{b} \\ b & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & -\bar{d} \\ d & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac - \bar{b}d & -a\bar{d} - \bar{b}\bar{c} \\ bc + \bar{a}d & -b\bar{d} + \bar{a}\bar{c} \end{pmatrix}$ and we'll go through a *very* tedious calculation.

Upon second thought I only have a finite amount of time to work on this and I think I'll learn more from other parts.

(b) Take the following intertwining map $\psi : V_n(\mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow V'_n$ defined by

$$\sum_{m=0}^n \alpha_m z_1^m z_2^{n-m} \xrightarrow{\psi} \sum_{m=0}^n \alpha_m z_1^m.$$

In short, we're simply dropping the second complex variable z_2 . Now we'll show it's actually an intertwining map. Recall we have $(\Pi_n, V_n(\mathbb{C}^2))$ as defined in lecture and (Π, V'_n) as defined above.

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi_n(A) \cdot g]\left(\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix}\right) &= g\left(A^{-1}\left(\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix}\right)\right) = g\left(\begin{matrix} \bar{a}z_1 + \bar{b}z_2 \\ -bz_1 + az_2 \end{matrix}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \alpha_m (\bar{a}z_1 + \bar{b}z_2)^m (-bz_1 + az_2)^{n-m} \\ &= \sum_{m,k,\ell=0}^{n,m,n-m} \alpha_m \binom{m}{k} \binom{n-m}{\ell} \bar{a}^k (-b)^\ell \bar{b}^{m-k} a^{n-m-\ell} z_1^{k+\ell} z_2^{n-k-\ell} \end{aligned}$$

And now applying out intertwining map we get

$$\psi([\Pi_n(A) \cdot g]\left(\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix}\right)) = \sum_{m,k,\ell=0}^{n,m,n-m} \alpha_m \binom{m}{k} \binom{n-m}{\ell} \bar{a}^k (-b)^\ell \bar{b}^{m-k} a^{n-m-\ell} z_1^{k+\ell}$$

Now let's compute $\Pi(A) \circ \psi$.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(g\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)\right) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \alpha_m z_1^m \\ \Pi(A)\left(\psi\left(g\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)\right)\right) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \alpha_m (\bar{a}z_1 + \bar{b})^m (-bz_1 + a)^{n-m} \\ &= \sum_{m,k,\ell=0}^{n,m,n-m} \alpha_m \binom{m}{k} \binom{n-m}{\ell} \bar{a}^k (-b)^\ell \bar{b}^{m-k} a^{n-m-\ell} z_1^{k+\ell} \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly what we got before, so we conclude $\Pi(A) \circ \psi = \psi \circ \Pi_n(A)$.

To conclude ψ is a bijection we use the fact that $f \in V'_n$ is completely characterized by its coefficients α_i , and this map preserves the number of available coefficients. idk how to argue this, but it's pretty obvious in my opinion this is a bijection.

6

Some applications of Schur's lemma. Let V be a complex representation of a compact matrix Lie group G .

- (a) Suppose V is equipped with a G -invariant inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . Let V_1 and V_2 be irreducible subrepresentations which are non-isomorphic. Prove that $V_1 \perp V_2$ with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) .
- (b) Prove that, up to multiplication by a positive real scalar, there is a unique G -invariant inner product on V .

Solution. (a) Suppose $V_1 \not\perp V_2$. Then there exists a non-zero vector $v \in V_1 \cap V_2 =: W$. By the irreducibility of V_1 and V_2 we know $Gv \in V_1$ and $Gv \in V_2$, so $Gv \in W$, and $GW \subseteq W$. Hence W is a subrepresentation contradicting the fact that V_1 and V_2 are irreducible. Thus $V_1 \perp V_2$.

I understand now just because $V_1 \not\perp V_2$ does not imply there is a non-zero vector in their intersection. To recover the proof I think we might be able to argue that because G is compact, its representation is similar to a unitary one where all subrepresentations are orthogonal.

(b) Let $\langle -, - \rangle$ and $(-, -)$ be two inner products on V . Define the two following maps $\rho_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} : V \rightarrow V^*$ and $\rho_{(\cdot, \cdot)} : V \rightarrow V^*$ (where V^* is the dual space of V) as

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}(v) &:= \langle v, - \rangle \\ \rho_{(\cdot, \cdot)}(v) &:= (v, -)\end{aligned}$$

Also take the dual representation Π^* as

$$\Pi^*(g)\langle v, - \rangle = \langle v, \Pi(g)^\dagger - \rangle$$

We'll now show both ρ are intertwining maps:

$$\begin{aligned}(\Pi^*(g) \circ \rho)(v) &= \Pi^*(g)(\rho(v)) \\ &= \Pi^*(g)([v, -]) \\ &= [v, \Pi(g)^\dagger -] \\ (\rho \circ \Pi(g))(v) &= \rho(\Pi(g)(v)) \\ &= [\Pi(g)v, -] \\ &= [v, \Pi(g)^\dagger -]\end{aligned}$$

Where I'm using $[-, -]$ to denote either of our two inner products. Now by Schur's lemma $\rho_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} = \lambda \rho_{(\cdot, \cdot)}$. To show λ must be real and positive, remember that inner products are positive definite, and so $\underbrace{\langle v, v \rangle}_{\in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} = \lambda \underbrace{(v, v)}_{\in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$. Hence $\lambda \geq 0$.

7

This problem concerns the irreducible representations of $U(1)$.

- For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define an action of $U(1)$ on \mathbb{C} by letting $\Pi_k(g)z = g^k z$. Prove that this defines a representation of $U(1)$.
- Prove that every homomorphism $\Pi : U(1) \rightarrow U(1)$ has the form $\Pi(g) = g^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- Prove that every irreducible representation of $U(1)$ is isomorphic to (Π_k, \mathbb{C}) for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Solution. (a) First, the fact that Π_k is a group homomorphism:

$$\Pi_k(g_1 g_2) = (g_1 g_2)^k = g_1^k g_2^k = \Pi_k(g_1) \Pi_k(g_2)$$

Now, to show Π_k is continuous let's look at it's kernel. Indeed it's not hard to see $\ker(\Pi_k) = \{e^{i2\pi n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. This is a closed subgroup of $U(1)$, so Π_k is continuous.

(b) Suppose $\Pi(g) = g^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Anything outside of \mathbb{R} might not maintain closure of $U(1)$ so it's enough to restrict ourselves to \mathbb{R} . Write $\alpha = n + d$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in [0, 1)$. We can then rewrite $\Pi(g) = g^n g^d$. Unfortunately we cannot continuously define fractional, and irrational powers of $e^{i\theta}$ for all θ continuously. This leaves us with $\Pi(g) = g^n$.

(c) Let $(\tilde{\Pi}, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $U(1)$. The fact that $U(1)$ is commutative implies $GL(V)$ must be as well:

$$\tilde{\Pi}(a)\tilde{\Pi}(b) = \tilde{\Pi}(ab) = \tilde{\Pi}(ba) = \tilde{\Pi}(b)\tilde{\Pi}(a)$$

The only commutative general linear groups are $GL(1; \mathbb{R})$ and $GL(1; \mathbb{C})$ so V must be one of these. If our representation is into $GL(1; \mathbb{R})$ then it must be of the trivial representation.

If $V = GL(1; \mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}, *)$, then every $\tilde{\Pi}(e^{i\theta} e^{i\varphi}) = \tilde{\Pi}(e^{i\theta})\tilde{\Pi}(e^{i\varphi})$ because $\tilde{\Pi}$ is a group homomorphism. This means $\tilde{\Pi}|_{U(1)}$ must also be a group homomorphism, and by (b) it must be of the form Π_k .

8

(a) Prove that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^3) = 2m + 1$. (For $f \in V_m(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we may write

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{x^k}{k!} f_k(x_2, x_3),$$

where f_k is a homogeneous degree $m - k$ polynomial in x_2, x_3 . Now use the condition $\Delta f = 0$ to prove that f is completely determined by f_0 and f_1 .)

(b) For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$R_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

and consider the subgroup $T = \{R_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $O(3)$. Prove that

$$\mathcal{H}_T = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^3) : R_\theta \cdot f = f \text{ for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is one-dimensional.

(c) Suppose W is an invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the $O(3)$ representation. Prove that W contains an element of \mathcal{H}_T . (Start by proving that there exists $f \in W$ with $f(1, 0, 0) \neq 0$, and then consider a suitable integral over $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$.)

(d) Prove that $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an irreducible representation of $O(3)$.

Solution. (a) We'll start by showing $f \in V_m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ can be written as in the hint. Let $d = \dim V_m(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

$$f(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i x^{a_i} y^{b_i} z^{c_i} \quad a_i + b_i + c_i = m$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{x^{a_i}}{i!} \alpha_i i! y^{b_i} z^{c_i}$$

$$= \sum_{a \in \{a_i\}} \frac{x^a}{a!} f_a(y, z) \quad f_a(y, z) := \alpha_i i! y^{b_i} z^{c_i}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{x^k}{k!} f_k(y, z)$$

relabeling and x has m distinct powers

Now we'll calculate Δf .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= \sum_{k=2}^m \frac{x^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} f_k(y, z) + \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{x^k}{k!} \left(f_k^{(yy)} + f_k^{(zz)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{x^k}{k!} \left[f_{k+2}(y, z) + f_k^{(yy)} + f_k^{(zz)} \right] + \frac{x^m}{m!} \left(f_0^{(yy)} + f_0^{(zz)} \right) + \frac{x^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(f_1^{(yy)} + f_1^{(zz)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{x^k}{k!} \left[f_{k+2}(y, z) + f_k^{(yy)} + f_k^{(zz)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Where the last equality holds because $f_0^{(aa)} = 0$ for $a = y, z$ and similarly for $f_1^{(aa)}$.

Now in order for this equation to be identically 0 for all x, y, z we must have the bracketed term equal to 0. Taking $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(y, z) + f_0^{(yy)} + f_0^{(zz)} &= 0 \\ f_3(y, z) + f_1^{(yy)} + f_1^{(zz)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can “build” all f_i from f_0 and f_1 recursively. This means for an arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have to choose a degree m harmonic homogeneous polynomial *and* a degree $m - 1$ harmonic homogeneous polynomial. Choosing the first requires $m + 1$ numbers, and the second m , so together we have dimension $2m + 1$.

(b) First take $\theta = \pi$. Then

$$(R_{-\pi})\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -y \\ -z \end{pmatrix}$$

So our solutions must be invariant under $y \mapsto -y$ and $z \mapsto -z$. This means only one of f_0 or f_1 can be non-zero based on the parity of m . This means our $f_R \in \mathcal{H}_T$ look like

$$f_R = f_0(y, z) \quad \text{or} \quad f_R = x f_1(y, z).$$

Now using the fact that f_R is invariant under all θ we should theoretically be able to show there is only one free parameter, but I cannot today.

(c) (d)

9

The action considered in Problem #8 also allows us to view $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as a representation of $SO(3)$. Does the proof outlined in Problem #8 show that this representation is irreducible?

Solution. Yes.

10

By Problem #2, the action $(A \cdot f)(x) = f(A^{-1}x)$ gives rise to representations of $O(2)$ and $SO(2)$ on $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

- (a) Prove that $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is irreducible as a representation of $O(2)$.
- (b) Prove that $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is not irreducible as a representation of $SO(2)$ for $m \geq 2$.

Solution. (a)

(b)