

# Open Quantum Systems Assignment 1

**Name:** Nate Stemen (20906566)  
**Email:** nate@stemen.email

**Due:** Mon, Feb 22, 2020 11:59 PM  
**Course:** AMATH 876

## Exercise 2.2

Show that the reduced state obtained via partial trace is a density operator, i.e., a non-negative operator satisfying  $\text{tr } \hat{\rho}_A = 1$ .

**Solution.** Take  $\hat{\rho}_{AB}$  to be a density operator on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{AB}$ . In a (tensor product) basis this looks like

$$\hat{\rho}_{AB} = \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} p_{ijkl} |a_i\rangle \otimes |b_j\rangle \langle a_k| \otimes \langle b_\ell|$$

In order to get a condition on it's coefficients  $p_{ijkl}$  we'll take it's trace and force it to be 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } \hat{\rho}_{AB} &= \sum_{n,m} \langle a_n| \otimes \langle b_m| \left[ \hat{\rho}_{AB} \right] |a_n\rangle \otimes |b_m\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n,m,i,j,k,\ell} p_{ijkl} \delta_{in} \delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} \delta_{\ell m} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} p_{ijij} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

We'll start by computing  $\rho_A$  in this basis.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_B \hat{\rho}_{AB} &= \sum_n \mathbb{1} \otimes \langle b_n| \left[ \hat{\rho}_{AB} \right] \mathbb{1} \otimes |b_n\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n,i,j,k,\ell} p_{ijkl} \delta_{nj} \delta_{\ell n} |a_i\rangle \langle a_k| \\ &= \sum_{n,i,k} p_{inkn} |a_i\rangle \langle a_k| \end{aligned}$$

Now we can ensure  $\text{tr } \hat{\rho}_A = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } \hat{\rho}_A &= \sum_\ell \langle a_\ell| \left[ \hat{\rho}_A \right] |a_\ell\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\ell,n,i,k} p_{inkn} \delta_{\ell i} \delta_{k\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\ell,n} p_{\ell n \ell n} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by eq. (\*) we can conclude  $\text{tr } \hat{\rho}_A = 1$ .

To show  $\hat{\rho}_A$  is positive semi-definite we can use the fact that the sum of the diagonal terms of a density operator are both real and positive. Real because density operators

are Hermitian and positive because they are probabilities.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \phi | \hat{\rho}_A | \phi \rangle &= \sum_n \bar{\phi}_n \langle a_n | p_{ijkj} | a_i \rangle \langle a_k | \phi_n | a_n \rangle \\
 &= \sum_n |\phi_n|^2 p_{ijkj} \delta_{ni} \delta_{kn} \\
 &= \sum_{ij} \underbrace{|\phi_i|^2}_{\in [0,1]} \underbrace{p_{ijij}}_{\in \mathbb{R}} \\
 &\geq \sum_{ij} p_{ijij} = 1 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we've shown the partial trace  $\text{tr}_B : L(H_A \otimes H_B) \rightarrow L(H_A)$  preserves density operators.

**Exercise 2.3**

Prove that these three pure-state conditions are equivalent.

**Solution.** First we'll show  $\hat{\rho}^2 = \hat{\rho} \implies \text{tr} \hat{\rho}^2 = 1$ .

$$\text{tr} \hat{\rho}^2 = \text{tr} \hat{\rho} = 1$$

Next we have  $\text{tr} \hat{\rho}^2 = 1 \implies \hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ . Let's first calculate  $\hat{\rho}^2$  in an orthonormal basis.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i |i\rangle\langle i| \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i |i\rangle\langle i| \sum_{j=1}^n p_j |j\rangle\langle j| \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j |i\rangle\langle i|j\rangle\langle j| && \langle i|j\rangle = \delta_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 |i\rangle\langle i| \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the trace of  $\hat{\rho}^2$  we get a condition on the  $p_i$ 's.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \hat{\rho}^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle k| \hat{\rho}^2 |k\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,i=1}^n \langle k| (p_i^2 |i\rangle\langle i|) |k\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\sum p_i = 1 = \sum p_i^2$ . By basic properties of real numbers, we know  $p_i^2 \leq p_i$  when  $p_i \in [0, 1]$  and equality only holding when  $p_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Using this fact we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$$

where again equality only holds if  $p_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $i$ . The only way this can be true is if one of the  $p_i$ 's is 1 and all of the rest are 0. In that case our summation collapses to one term, and we are left with  $\hat{\rho} = |i\rangle\langle i|$  as desired.

Lastly we have  $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \implies \hat{\rho}^2 = \hat{\rho}$ .

$$\hat{\rho}^2 = (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = |\psi\rangle \underbrace{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle}_1 \langle\psi| = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \hat{\rho}$$

**Exercise 2.5**

Prove the existence of the Schmidt decomposition.

**Solution.** Let  $\{|a_i\rangle\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $H_A$  and  $\{|b_i\rangle\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $H_B$ . We then know  $|a_n\rangle \otimes |b_m\rangle$  forms a basis for  $H_{AB} = H_A \otimes H_B$ , and hence we can expand any vector  $|\psi\rangle \in H_{AB}$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} \psi_{ij} |a_i\rangle \otimes |b_j\rangle.$$

We can think of the coefficients  $\psi_{ij}$  as a matrix using the association  $|a_i\rangle \otimes |b_j\rangle \cong |a_i\rangle\langle b_j|$ .<sup>1</sup> This operator is then taking an element of  $H_B$  to an element of  $H_A$ . That is we can think of the *vector*  $|\psi\rangle$  as a linear map  $|\psi\rangle_{\text{op}} : H_B \rightarrow H_A$ .

With this picture in place we can apply the singular decomposition to write

$$|\psi\rangle_{\text{op}} = \sum_{n=1}^r \lambda_n |a_n\rangle\langle b_n|$$

where  $r$  is the rank of  $|\psi\rangle_{\text{op}}$  the *operator*. Now we can map back into  $|\psi\rangle$  again using  $|a_n\rangle\langle b_m| \cong |a_n\rangle \otimes |b_m\rangle$  and see we have

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^r \lambda_n |a_n\rangle \otimes |b_n\rangle.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Using the underlying isomorphism of  $U^* \otimes V$  and the space  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$  of linear maps from  $U$  to  $V$ .

**Exercise 3.1**

Prove the two properties given by Eqns. 3.1.

**Solution.** First we'll show  $\sum_\nu E_\nu = \mathbb{1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\nu E_\nu &= \sum_\nu \text{tr}_B (\Pi_\nu \cdot \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B) \\ &= \text{tr}_B \left( \sum_\nu \Pi_\nu \cdot \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B \right) \\ &= \text{tr}_B \left( \left[ \sum_\nu \Pi_\nu \right] \cdot \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B \right) \\ &= \text{tr}_B (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_A \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_\nu \Pi_\nu = \mathbb{1}_{A \otimes B}$$

Now we'll show  $E_\nu \geq 0$ , but first we need some setup. Since  $\Pi_\nu$  is a projector, by the spectral theorem it can be written as

$$\Pi_\nu = \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} |a_i b_j\rangle \langle a_i b_j|$$

where  $\lambda_{ij}$  are real and non-negative. We also have  $\hat{\rho}_B = \sum p_n |b_n\rangle \langle b_n|$  and  $\mathbb{1}_A = \sum |a_n\rangle \langle a_n|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\nu \cdot \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B &= \sum \lambda_{ij} p_n |a_i b_j\rangle \langle a_i b_j| \langle a_\ell b_n| \langle a_\ell b_n| \\ &= \sum \lambda_{ij} p_n \delta_{il} \delta_{jn} |a_i b_j\rangle \langle a_\ell b_n| \\ &= \sum_{\ell n} \lambda_{\ell n} p_n |a_\ell b_n\rangle \langle a_\ell b_n| \end{aligned}$$

Now we can take the partial trace over  $B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_B (\Pi_\nu \cdot \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B) &= \sum \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \langle b_i| \lambda_{\ell n} p_n |a_\ell b_n\rangle \langle a_\ell b_n| \mathbb{1} \otimes |b_i\rangle \\ &= \sum \lambda_{\ell n} p_n |a_\ell\rangle \langle a_\ell| \delta_{in} \delta_{ni} \\ &= \sum_{\ell n} \lambda_{\ell n} p_n |a_\ell\rangle \langle a_\ell| \end{aligned}$$

Now finally we can take the expectation values to show  $E_\nu$  is positive semi-definite.

I'm a little iffy if this actually works. I feel like there should be a more elegant way to show  $E_\nu$  is positive semi-definite, but I can't come up with anything.

**Exercise 3.2**

Apply Naimark's theorem to identify a PVM<sup>a</sup> in an extended Hilbert space that generates the trine.

<sup>a</sup>Projection-Valued Measure

**Solution.** We'd like to find a set of operators  $\{F_i\}$  such that  $F_i F_j = F_i \delta_{ij}$  and  $\sum_i F_i = \mathbb{1}$  that are built from the operators  $E_\nu$ . First recall the trine states:

$$|\chi_0\rangle = |0\rangle \quad |\chi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|1\rangle \quad |\chi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|1\rangle$$

Now we can calculate the POVM<sup>2</sup>s as follows.

$$E_0 = \frac{2}{3} |\chi_0\rangle\langle\chi_0| = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{6} (|0\rangle\langle 0| - \sqrt{3}|0\rangle\langle 1| - \sqrt{3}|1\rangle\langle 0| + 3|1\rangle\langle 1|) = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{6} (|0\rangle\langle 0| + \sqrt{3}|0\rangle\langle 1| + \sqrt{3}|1\rangle\langle 0| + 3|1\rangle\langle 1|) = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we'll look for a  $U$  satisfying  $U^\dagger(\mathbb{1} \otimes E_\nu)U$ . Indeed we can define (by the positivity of  $E_\nu$ )

$$U = \sqrt{E_0} \otimes \mathbf{e}_0 + \sqrt{E_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + \sqrt{E_2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_2.$$

Where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  are the standard basis elements of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . This is indeed an isometry ( $U^\dagger U = \mathbb{1}$ ) as

$$\begin{aligned} U^\dagger U &= \left( \sqrt{E_0} \otimes \mathbf{e}_0^\dagger + \sqrt{E_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_1^\dagger + \sqrt{E_2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^\dagger \right) \left( \sqrt{E_0} \otimes \mathbf{e}_0 + \sqrt{E_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + \sqrt{E_2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 \right) \\ &= E_0 \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_0^\dagger \mathbf{e}_0}_1 + E_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1^\dagger \mathbf{e}_1 + E_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^\dagger \mathbf{e}_2 \\ &= E_0 + E_1 + E_2 = \mathbb{1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we take  $F_i = U^\dagger(\mathbb{1} \otimes E_i)U$ .

<sup>2</sup>Positive Operator-Valued Measure

**Exercise 3.3**

- (a) Verify that the map  $E$  defined in terms of projectors onto coherent states in the example above satisfies the postulates of a POVM.
- (b) What is the operational interpretation of  $\Pr(X) = \text{tr}(E(X)\rho)$  for this POVM, noting that  $\alpha = (\langle q \rangle, \langle p \rangle)$  denotes the expectations of the position  $q$  and momentum  $p$  operators in the associated coherent state, and that  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$  means we are measuring the position and momentum of some particle?

**Solution.** (a) To show  $E(X)$  defines a valid POVM we need to show

1.  $E(X) \geq 0$
2.  $E(\mathbb{R}^2) = 1$
3.  $E(\cup_i X_i) = \sum_i E(X_i)$

**1**

$$\langle \psi | E(X) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_X d^2\alpha \langle \psi | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_X d^2\alpha \underbrace{|\langle \alpha | \psi \rangle|^2}_{\geq 0} \geq 0$$

This applies for all vectors  $|\psi\rangle$ , so we conclude  $E(X)$  is positive semi-definite.

**2**

This point is satisfied by the resolution of the identity given in the example prior to the question:

$$E(\mathbb{R}^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\alpha |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| = \mathbb{1}$$

**3**

Because the  $X_i$  are disjoint, the additivity of the Lebesgue integral allows us to split the integral into pieces as follows.

$$E\left(\bigcup_i X_i\right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\bigcup_i X_i} d^2\alpha |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| = \sum_i \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{X_i} d^2\alpha |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| = \sum_i E(X_i)$$

The convergence of the (possibly) infinite sum is guaranteed by first using the above manipulation on the first  $n$  sets  $X_i$  and then taking the limit.

(b) The operational interpretation of  $\Pr(X) = \text{tr}(E(X)\rho)$  is that this is the probability of finding the particle in and maybe around the state space region  $X$ .